

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,484	10/21/2005	Henry Nicolas Jabbour	20747/200	4407
75	90 09/13/2006	•	EXAM	INER
Edwin V Merkel			LUKTON, DAVID	
Nixon Peabody Clinton Square			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O Box 31051			1654	
Rochester, NY 14603-1051			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/511,484	JABBOUR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Lukton	1654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a liod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO litute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	7 May 2006		
·= · ·=	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		tters, prosecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor-	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	•	Application No	
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the p			tage
application from the International Bur	<u> </u>		_
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:		

Pursuant to preliminary amendment filed 10/15/04, claims 3-6, 8, 9, 18, 19 have been amended, and claims 23-29 added. Claims 1-29 were pending at that point. A second preliminary amendment (filed 11/9/04) directed an amendment to claim 12. Claims 1-29 remain pending.

*

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures. Applicant must comply with the requirements of the sequence rules (37 CFR 1.821 - 1.825) before the application can be examined under 35 U.S.C. §131 and 132.

See, for example, the sequences on pages 6-7, and claims 5-6. See also the oligonucleotide sequences on page 28, line 13+.

Applicant is given the time period set in this letter within which to comply with the sequence rules, 37 CFR 1.821 - 1.825. Failure to comply with these requirements will result in ABANDONMENT of the application under 37 CFR 1.821(g). Extensions of time may be obtained by filing a petition accompanied by the extension fee under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

4

The following abbreviations are used hereinbelow:

APFR: an agent that prevents PGF_{2a} from having its effect on the FP receptor

IPAE: an inhibitor of PGES and/or an antagonist of EP2 or EP4.

. . . .

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:

- 1) Claims 1-9, drawn to a method of treating (or preventing) menorrhagia comprising the administration of an APFR.
- 2) Claims 14, 24, 25, drawn to a composition that comprises an APFR.
- 3) Claims 15, 20-22, 28, drawn to a combination of an APFR and an IPAE.
- 4) Claims 16, 26, 27, drawn to a device comprising an APFR.
- 5) Claims 17 and 29, drawn to a device comprising an APFR and an IPAE.

Claims 10-13, 18, 19 and 23 are not grouped, as they are drawn to a "use". In the event that these claims are amended to conform to a proper statutory class of invention, the claims will be grouped appropriately.

Applicant is advised that for the response to this requirement to be complete, an election of the invention to be examined must be indicated, even if the requirement is traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

. . . .

In addition to the foregoing, applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect disclosed species (as follows) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

In the event that Group 1, 2, or 4 is chosen for initial examination, election is required of a specific "APFR".

In the event that either of Groups 3 or 5 is chosen for initial examination, election is required of a specific "APFR" and a specific "IPAE".

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a generic claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are witten in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentable distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton whose telephone number is 571-272-0952. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached at (571)272-0562. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

DAVID LUKTON, PH.D.